

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

UR C.14

PCTNOTIFICATION CONCERNING
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL
APPLICATION AS PUBLISHED OR REPUBLISHED

To:

ABB AB
Legal & Compliance/Intellectual Property
Forskargränd 8
S-721 78 Västerås
SUÈDE

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

14 July 2005 (14.07.2005)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
9596WO/UR/MZ**IMPORTANT NOTICE**International application No.
PCT/SE2004/002043International filing date (day/month/year)
30 December 2004 (30.12.2004)Priority date (day/month/year)
31 December 2003 (31.12.2003)

Applicant

ABB AB et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith the following documents:

copy of the international application as published by the International Bureau on 14 July 2005 (14.07.2005) under
No. WO 2005/064758copy of international application as republished by the International Bureau on under
No. WOFor an explanation as to the reason for this republication of the international application, reference is made to INID codes (15), (48)
or (88) (as the case may be) on the front page of the attached document.The International Bureau of WIPO
34, chemin des Colombettes
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Philippe Becamel

Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 70 90

M2

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

ABB AB
Legal Compliance/
Intellectual Property
721 78 Västerås
Sverige

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

22-04-2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference

9596WO/UR/MZ

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

PCT/SE2004/002043

International filing date (day/month/year)

30-12-2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

31-12-2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

H02B 1/015, G08C 17/00, G06F 11/30

Applicant

ABB AB et al

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further opinions, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

31-10-2005 ✓

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE
Patent- och registreringsverket
Box 5055
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM

Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88

Authorized officer

Antonio Farieta/MN

Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/SE2004/002043

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

- ☐ a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

- ☐ in written format
☐ in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

- ☐ contained in the international application as filed.
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/SE2004/002043

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	1-3	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-3	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-3	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Documents cited in the International Search Report:

D1: US 6169651 B1
D2: US 2003096607 A1
D3: EP 0523848 A1
D4: WO 03036324 A1

The cited documents represent the general state of the art.

The invention defined in claims 1-3 is not disclosed by any of these documents.

The cited prior art does not give any indication that would lead a person skilled in the art to the claimed control system for controlling machines or industrial processes. Therefore, the claimed invention is not obvious to a person skilled in the art.

Accordingly, the invention defined in claims 1-3 is novel and is considered to involve an inventive step. The invention is industrially applicable.